

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/519,462	LOPEZ
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Daniel J. Mills	3679

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Daniel J. Mills. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Jonathan Scherer. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 June 2006

**Time:** 2:30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 1*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner discussed amending claim 1 to change "including" to --consist of-- to enable allowance of the claims, Mr. Jonathan Scherer agreed in a return call the same afternoon.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)